		1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND	
3	(Northern Division)	
4	X	
5	IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT : ORIGINAL	
6	OF ETERNITY SHIPPING, LTD. AND :	
7	EUROCARRIERS, S.A. FOR : Civil Action	
8	EXONERATION FROM OR LIMITATION: No. L01CV0250	
9	OF LIABILITY :	
10	X	
11	Deposition of DONALD SAYENGA	
12	Baltimore, Maryland	
13	Tuesday, August 31, 2004	
14	9:21 a.m.	
15	Job No.: 1-41102	
16	Pages 1 - 401	
17	Reported by: Beatriz D. Fefel, RPR	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		



	. 208
1	question if you'd specify whether you're talking about
2	the entire wire rope including the area where it
3	failed, or the other part. You see, what I'm trying
4	to get at is whenever you ask a question like that I'm
5	forced to include a catastrophic failure. So when I
6	say I saw no abrasion, I didn't see any abrasion at
7	the point of catastrophic failure.
8	Q Thank you.
9	Okay. Did you measure the entire length of
10	the wire rope?
11	A I made no measurements at all.
12	Q Okay. Did someone else do that?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Who all was present at this this was
15	the you're referring to the October 8th, 2003
16	inspection?
17	A Yeah. I examined the wire rope in the
18	presence of a Mr. Jim Dolan and a Mr. Willem
19	Schoonmade. Other people present included a number of
20	attorneys, and that would be Mr. Clyne and Mr. Saville
21	and Mr. Veit, and a gentleman that I met there named
22	Heiner Popp, and several employees of Chesapeake

1	294
	said yes because corrosion can begin immediately after
2	the lubricant is removed.
3	MR. CLYNE: Can I have the question and the
4	answer read back?
5	(Record was read back by the reporter as
6	follows: Q: Would any removal of lubricant
7	constitute an alteration of the wire rope? A: You
8	previously asked that question, and I said yes because
9	corrosion can begin immediately after the lubricant is
10	removed.)
11	A I'm happy with that answer.
12	Q Thank you. I'm satisfied with it as well.
13	You said that you examined the Coast Guard
14	report in this case, correct?
15	A I read it.
16	Q Did you read the Coast Guard's findings that
17	there was preexisting damage to the wire rope at the
18	area of the break?
19	A I immediately noted that.
20	Q And what did you do about it?
21	A I immediately in my own mind rejected the
22	idea.

295 1 0 Did you read the Coast Guard's report 2 before, or after you examined the wire rope on October 3 8th, 2000 --4 Α Long before. 5 0 And why did you reject that idea? 6 А No one can make a statement like that that 7 has any validity. 8 0 Why? 9 This wire rope has been through a horrible 10 accident. The forces imposed on it during the 11 accident altered forever the structure of the wire 12 rope to a degree. They can never be put back to where 13 they were immediately prior to the accident. So the 14 best thing anyone could do would be to examine it and 15 make a statement that would not involve the phrase 16 "preexisting." It would involve a phrase like "at the time of" or "during the accident," because the 17 18 implication of the damage I saw was that it happened 19 in very rapid succession, immediately at the moments 20 prior to the accident. That was the conclusion I 21 reached. 22 0 Do you recollect whether the Coast Guard

	296
1	found evidence of
2	A They had
3	Q corrosion?
4	A I'm sorry. Say it again.
5	Q Do you recollect as to whether the Coast
6	Guard found evidence of corrosion?
7	A I don't recollect the Coast Guard finding
8	evidence of corrosion.
9	Q You do not?
10	A I do not.
11	Q A section of the wire rope was removed at
12	the request of the Coast Guard and the assent of the
13	vessel owners and managers, correct?
14	A I got that information from what I read,
15	yes.
16	Q Okay. And what is it that you read that led
17	you to that conclusion?
18	A That statement in the Coast Guard report
19	that a section was removed.
20	(Mr. Saville left the room.)
21	Q Okay. Have you been provided with any
22	document as to what was done with that section?

	310
1	things.
2	MR. ASPERGER: Okay. Let's take a break.
3	Thank you.
4	(A short recess was taken.)
5	(Mr. Saville and Mr. Veit were not present
6	after the recess.)
7	BY MR. ASPERGER:
8	Q Do you have with you today the wire, sample
9	of the wire that you removed from the wire rope during
10	your inspection of October 8th, 2003?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And is it in that envelope?
13	A Yes, it is.
14	MR. CLYNE: Take it out.
15	Q Take it out. What is in that envelope?
16	What does the envelope contain?
17	A It contains this plastic bag which has the
18	tag and the wire.
19	Q Is there anything else in the envelope?
20	A Some lubricant unavoidably, which was all
21	over my hands when I put it in the bag.
22	Q And have you been the custodian of this

1	piece of w		311
2	А	Since October.	
3	Q	October 8th of 2003?	
4	А	Yes, I have.	
5	Q	So you took this with you from the	
6	inspection	n scene?	
7	А	I did.	
8	Q	And it's remained in your possession since	
9	then?		
10	А	Completely.	
11	Q	And where has it been kept?	
12	А	In my office.	
13	Q	In connection with this file?	
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	And have you removed the wire on any	
16	occasions	from the plastic bag?	
17	А	I have not.	
18	Q	Has anyone else to your knowledge?	
19	А	No one has.	
20	Q	Not even counsel or any of the other	
21	А	No one.	
22	Q	witnesses?	

	312
1	A Absolutely no one.
2	Q And the tag would you just read what the
3	tag says into the record, please?
4	A The tag in my handwriting says "cut at end
5	of broken strand at the catastrophic failure."
6	Q Okay. And is that in your handwriting?
7	A That's my handwriting.
8	Q Is there any documentation in which any
9	witnesses to this signed off?
10	MR. CLYNE: Objection.
11	Q As having been witnesses. Do you understand
12	what I'm asking?
13	A Yeah, the verb signed off.
14	Mr. Dolan at my request photographed me
15	cutting that wire. He certainly witnessed it and took
16	a photograph to record the event.
17	(Mr. Clyne handing.)
18	MR. ASPERGER: Thank you.
19	Q Mr. Clyne has conveniently provided us with
20	Dolan Exhibit No. 1 which is Mr. Dolan's report and
21	contains a series of photographs. Are those would
22	you just identify for the record which photos you're

	200
1	399 CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, Beatriz D. Fefel, Registered Professional
3	Reporter, the officer before whom the foregoing
4	proceedings were taken, do hereby certify that the
5	foregoing transcript is a true and correct record of
6	the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken by
7	me stenographically and thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my supervision; and that I am
9	neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any
10	of the parties to this case and have no interest,
11	financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
13	hand and affixed my notarial seal this 10th day of
14	September 2004.
15	My commission expires:
16	August 1, 2008
17	
18	Deating Fefe
19	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE
20	STATE OF MARYLAND
21	
22	